UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WING SHING PRODUCTS (BVI) LTD.,	*	
Plaintiff,	*	
v.	* CIVIL ACTION: 01 CIV. 1044(RJH)	
SIMATELEX MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	(Hon. Richard J. Holwell) *	
Defendant.	*	
SIMATELEX MANUFACTORY COMPANY'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO WING SHING PRODUCTS (BVI) LTD. Simatelex Manufactory Company, Ltd. (Simatelex) propounds the following requests for		
admissions to Wing Shing Products (BVI),	Ltd. (Wing Shing):	
1. Neither Mr. Sham, nor anyo	ne from Wing Shing, has ever discussed the existence	
of the purported Sham Patent (the "Patent")), or any matters relating to that Patent, to include the	
matters litigated in the case of In re AI Rea	lty Marketing of New York, Inc., with anyone at	
Simatelex.		
ADMITTED:		
DENIED:		
2. Before this litigation was fil	ed, Mr. Sham believed that Simatelex was manu-	
facturing the Mr. Coffee coffeemaker in vi	olation of the Patent.	
ADMITTED:		
DENIED:		

Case 1:01-cv-01044-RJH Document 33 Filed 08/23/2004 Page 2 of 3

3.	Before this litigation was filed, Wing Shing believed that Simatelex was manu-
facturing the N	Mr. Coffee coffeemaker in violation of the Patent.
ADMITTED:	
DENIED:	
4.	Before this litigation was filed, neither Mr. Sham, nor anyone at Wing Shing, ever
notified in any	way anyone at Simatelex of the existence of the Sham Patent.
ADMITTED:	
DENIED:	
5.	Before this litigation was filed, neither Mr. Sham nor Wing Shing gave any type
	Before this litigation was filed, neither Mr. Sham nor Wing Shing gave any type matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si ADMITTED: DENIED: 6.	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si ADMITTED: DENIED: 6.	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent. Before this litigation was filed, neither Mr. Sham nor Wing Shing gave any type matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Sunbeam was infringing the Patent.
of notice to Si ADMITTED: DENIED: 6. of notice to Si	matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Simatelex was infringing the Patent. Before this litigation was filed, neither Mr. Sham nor Wing Shing gave any type matelex of Wing Shing's contentions that Sunbeam was infringing the Patent.

ORRIN K. AMES, III (AMESO3454) (Pro Hac

Vice)

HAND ARENDALL, L.L.C.

Post Office Box 123

Mobile, AL 36601

Tel: (251) 432-5511 DID: (251) 694-6204 Cell: (251) 454-0003

Fax: (251) 694-6375

E-mail: sames@handarendall.com

W. BRADLEY SMITH (WS3773)

HAND ARENDALL, L.L.C.

Post Office Box 123

Mobile, AL 36601

Tel: (251) 432-5511 DID: (251) 694-6246

Fax: (251) 694-6375

E-mail: bsmith@handarendall.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 23 day of , 2004, served copies of these Requests for Admissions on the counsel whose names are listed below by facsimile and by placing copies in the United States mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid.

ORRIN K. AMES, III

COUNSEL OF RECORD:

William Dunnegan, Esq. Ronald L. Zaslow, Esq. Perkins & Dunnegan 720 5th Avenue New York, NY 10019

240091_1